1	Accepted for publication in Ecology and Evolution, 2014
2	Predicting the global spread of an invasive pollinator, the bumblebee Bombus
3	terrestris.
4	
5	
6	Victor Peredo-Alvarez ¹ , Pierre Rasmont ² , Jessica J. Scriven ¹ & Dave Goulson ¹
7	
8	
9	¹ University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, UK.
10	² Université de Mons, Laboratoire de Zoologie, Place du Parc 23, B-7000 Mons, Belgium
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Keywords: Bombus; distribution; non-native; climatic modelling; Maxent; non-native
25	pathogen
26	
27	Correspondence: Professor Dave Goulson, Biological and Environmental Sciences,
28	University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, UK.
29	Fax: +44 1786 467843
30	Email: <u>dave.goulson@stir.ac.uk</u>

31

32 Abstract

33 The global trade in commercial bumblebees for crop pollination, particularly of the common European species Bombus terrestris, has led to a number of deliberate 34 introductions and accidental escapes of this species far from its native range. This poses 35 environmental risks, particularly via the accidental co-introduction of non-native parasites 36 which can have devastating impacts on native bees. Here we use climatic niche modelling 37 (Maxent) based on the well-characterised European distribution of B. terrestris to predict 38 39 areas of the globe at risk from invasion by this species. The model is validated by comparison with the known distribution of invasive B. terrestris (in Japan, New Zealand, 40 41 Tasmania, South America, and possibly South Korea); all known invasions are in areas predicted by the model to be suitable. Other areas which the model predicts to be at risk of 42 43 invasion include southern South Africa, southern Australia, parts of North America, and substantial areas of China. The invasion of South America by B. terrestris is on-going, and 44 45 resulting in the southward retreat of the native B. dahlbomii, probably mediated by a nonnative parasite carried by B. terrestris. Our model predicts that B. terrestris will continue to 46 47 invade southwards to the tip of South America, such that B. dahlbomii is in imminent danger of extinction. 48

49 Introduction

50

51 There are approximately 250 known species of bumblebees (genus *Bombus*), naturally distributed throughout the Northern Hemisphere and South America (Williams 1994). In 52 temperate zones they are among the most important wild pollinators, delivering pollination 53 54 to a range of arable and horticultural crops and innumerable wildflower species (Goulson 2010). Their aptitude for buzz pollination, a skill not shared by honeybees, led to the 55 commercialization of bumblebee rearing to provide colonies for tomato pollination in the 56 57 late 1980s (Velthuis & van Doorn 2006). Bumblebee rearing rapidly became a global business; colonies are used mainly for tomato pollination, but they are also used for a range 58 59 of other glasshouse and field crops including peppers, aubergines, various curcubits and soft fruits (Goulson 2003). The trade started with a common European species, *Bombus* 60 61 terrestris, and this species still dominates global trade although other local species are reared in some regions. Estimates from 2004 suggest that the global bumblebee trade 62 63 consisted of approximately 930,000 colonies of B. terrestris, approximately 55,000 colonies of the North American B. impatiens, and a few thousand colonies of the Eurasian 64 65 B. lucorum, east Asian B. ignitus, and North American B. occidentalis (Velthuis & van Doorn 2006). More recent estimates are not available but the number of colonies used is 66 67 likely to be considerably higher, with *B. terrestris* now exported to at least 60 countries worldwide (Goulson 2010). 68

This widespread trade has been accompanied by various deliberate releases and 69 70 accidental escapes, such that *Bombus terrestris* has now become established in several regions of the globe to which it is not native. In fact anthropogenic redistribution of 71 72 bumblebees began in the late 1800s, long before the commercial trade developed, when 73 four species of bumblebee, including *B. terrestris*, were introduced to New Zealand where 74 they flourish to this day (Goulson & Hanley 2004). Following the commencement of 75 commercial rearing, B. terrestris became established in the wild in Japan in the early 1990s following escapes from glasshouses (Goka 1998). In 1992, B. terrestris stock from New 76 Zealand arrived in Hobart, Tasmania, their means of transport unknown, and they have 77 since spread to occupy the entire island (Semmens 1996; Buttermore 1997). In 1998, B. 78 terrestris were deliberately introduced to Chile, from whence they crossed the Andes to 79

Argentina in 2006 (Torretta *et al.* 2006). Most recently, anecdotal evidence suggests that *B. terrestris* had escaped from glasshouses and established in the wild in South Korea by
2002, following the routine importation of colonies from 1994 onwards (Yoon et al. 2009).

The on-going introduction and spread of *B. terrestris* is of particular concern 83 because there is clear evidence for substantial negative impacts upon native flora and fauna. 84 In New Zealand and Tasmania, pollination by B. terrestris contributes substantially to seed 85 86 set of major environmental weeds, many of which are native to Europe and co-evolved with bumblebee pollinators that do not naturally occur in the Antipodes (Hanley & Goulson 87 88 2003; Goulson & Rotheray 2012). In Japan, there is evidence for competition with native bumblebees for nesting holes, and also inter-specific mating between B. terrestris and the 89 90 native B. hypocrita which effectively sterilizes the B. hypocrita queens since no viable offspring are produced (Inoue et al. 2008; Kanbe et al. 2008). However, the biggest 91 92 concerns with the spread of Bombus terrestris relate to the accidental transportation of bee 93 parasites and pathogens, which have the potential to inflict devastating impacts on naïve 94 native bee species.

We have a very poor understanding of the ecology, geographic distribution and host 95 96 range of most bee parasites and diseases. Until very recently almost all research focussed on honeybees, but it is now clear that honeybees, bumblebees and other pollinator species 97 98 each harbour a diverse and overlapping range of viral, bacterial, fungal, protozoan and arthropod parasites. Bumblebee colonies sold for commercial use have been found to 99 commonly contain various parasites, including species which infect honeybees (Colla et al. 100 101 2006; Otterstatter & Thomson 2007; Manson et al. 2010; Singh et al 2010; Meeus et al. 102 2011; Murray et al. 2013), so it is likely that the global trade is resulting in a wholesale 103 redistribution of bee parasites. European strains of the tracheal mite Locustacarus buchneri now infest wild Japanese bumblebees (Goka et al. 2006). Dramatic declines in abundance 104 105 and range of several once-common North American bumblebee species have been linked to the accidental introduction of a virulent strain of the microsporidian Nosema bombi from 106 107 Europe, although definitive evidence for this link is lacking (Grixti et al. 2009; Cameron et al. 2011). In South America, the native B. dahlbomii has disappeared from all areas invaded 108 by the rapidly spreading *B. terrestris*, and may face imminent extinction if *B. terrestris* 109 spreads to encompass all of its range (Arbetman et al. 2012). The B. terrestris are infected 110

at high prevalence with the neogregarine *Apicystis bombi*, a parasite not previously known
from South America, and this has been suggested to be the cause of the decline in *B*. *dahlbomii* (Plischuk et al 2011; Arbetman et al. 2012).

Given the major impacts of *B. terrestris* outside its native range, there is an urgent 114 need to establish the further potential for spread of this species, to highlight regions where 115 it is likely to be able to persist in the wild, and to predict the likely extent of on-going 116 117 invasions. The only previous attempt to do so used habitat niche-based models to predict the potential distribution of this species in Hokkaido, Japan, concluding that distribution 118 may be negatively influenced by large areas of woodland (Kadoya et al. 2009). Here, we 119 use climatic niche modelling, based on the well characterised natural distribution of B. 120 121 *terrestris* in Europe, to predict where else in the globe has climatic conditions suitable for the survival of this species. Predictions are validated by comparisons with the extent of 122 123 known invasions, and areas where B. terrestris has not yet invaded but which could provide 124 suitable conditions are highlighted.

125

126 Methods

127 Distribution data for *B. terrestris* in Europe were obtained from the STEP project (Status

and Trends of European Pollinators), and included 25,085 records from Europe, North

129 Africa (Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, Libya) and the Middle East (Jordan, Iran,

130 Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan) (Rasmont & Iserbyt, 2012). Maximum Entropy

131 Species Distribution Modelling software (Maxent Version 3.3.3, Phillips et al. 2006) was

used to predict the global potential for invasion of *B. terrestris*. Maxent is a machine-

learning process based on a statistical mechanics approach that uses presence-only data topredict habitat-suitability across the studied area.

Maxent estimated the potential for invasion by finding the Maxent distribution given the constraint that the expected value for each variable closely matches the empirical average of the occurrence data (Phillips & Dudík 2008). For this model calculation, we used presence-only data, at a 1 degree resolution. Hence, a 1 degree resolution set of global environmental variables were selected as predictors. The 6 selected environmental variables (mean annual temperature ($^{\circ}C$), mean annual minimum temperature (C°), mean annual maximum temperature (C°), mean annual precipitation (mm), mean annual diurnal temperature range (°C), and frost days) were obtained from CRU (Climatic Research Unit,
2012. These climatic variables were selected based on their likely relevance to bumblebees
based on our understanding of their thermoregulatory abilities and life-history (Heinrich,
1979; Goulson 2010; Iserbyt & Rasmont, 2012).

To check which environmental variables were the most important for model
building, a jack-knife analysis of the gain was produced to analyze how well each
environmental variable distinguished localities where the species naturally occurs from the
rest of the world.

150 Model predictions were compared to known locations of invasion by *B. terrestris* outside their native range (South America, Japan, Tasmania, New Zealand). To test the 151 152 sensitivity of the Maxent results, they were compared to field records of the distribution of B. terrestris in New Zealand (a long-established distribution) and Argentina (where 153 154 invasion is ongoing). For New Zealand, distribution data were obtained from Goulson and Hanley (2004), based on one man-hour searches of 74 sites in South Island carried out in 155 156 2003. For Argentina, records were obtained from 1/2 man-hour searches of 54 sites within and beyond the currently advancing distribution of *B. terrestris*, in a survey which 157 158 attempted to establish the extent of the northerly and easterly spread of the species, carried out by D.G. and J.J.S. in January 2012. 159

160

161 **Results**

162

163 Determination of most relevant climatic variables for MAXENT

164 Maxent jack-knife analysis (Figure 1) showed that the most important variable for the Maxent distribution of B. terrestris was mean maximum temperature (TMX) which had the 165 highest contribution to the model. The probability of occupancy is predicted to be low for 166 areas where TMX exceeds $\sim 25^{\circ}$ C. The three temperature variables showed the highest gain 167 when used in isolation, showing that temperature is the most useful single predictor. The 168 probability of occupancy was markedly higher in regions with a mean annual temperature 169 between ~0 and 18°C, and a mean annual minimum temperature between -5 and 15°C. Sites 170 were predicted to have low probability of occupancy if there were more than 200 frost days 171 172 per year, and if the mean annual diurnal temperature range is less than 6°C. Mean annual

precipitation (PRE) was the least correlated variable, since it showed only a slight decrease
in gain when omitted in comparison to the other variables. Sites were predicted to have a
low probability of occupancy of there was less than 200mm of rain per year.

The model predicts the climatic niche of *B. terrestris* outside of its natural range of 176 distribution (Figure 2). This can be interpreted as the potential for invasion of *B. terrestris*, 177 178 defined as the probability that areas outside of its natural range are suitable for the species 179 to survive. All known invasions of *B. terrestris* outside of its native range are in areas which the model predicts to be suitable; New Zealand, Tasmania, Japan and southern South 180 181 America. South Korea is also predicted to be suitable, although the invasion status in this country is unclear (Yoon et al 2009). No invasions have been recorded in areas that the 182 183 model predicts to be unsuitable (probability of suitability for occupation < 0.001; Eastman, 2009). 184

For New Zealand, all regions were predicted to be suitable for occupation by *B*. *terrestris* (Maxent probabilities ranged from 0.097 to 0.669). The species was detected in
67 of the 74 sites surveyed, and is regarded as ubiquitous on South Island (Goulson &
Hanley 2004). The locations in which *B. terrestris* were found spanned a range of Maxent
probabilities from 0.100 to 0.506.

In Argentina, of the 54 sites surveyed in January 2012, B. terrestris were detected at 190 191 ten, and the survey revealed that the invasion front has advanced approximately 700 km northwards in Argentina from the location near Bariloche where the species first crossed 192 193 the Andres in 2006 (Torretta et al. 2006), a rate of spread exceeding 100 km per year 194 (Figure 3). Maxent probabilities for occupied sites ranged from 0.011 to 0.128. Unoccupied 195 sites ranged from those with very low probability (0.002) up to sites which are predicted to be highly suitable (0.461). However, the most suitable sites according to the model were on 196 the Atlantic coast south of Buenos Aires, far from the site of invasion. Other sites of 197 relatively high predicted suitability were to the north of the currently northwards-advancing 198 population front, and are currently occupied by the native Bombus opifex (D.G. and J.J.S, 199 200 unpublished data).

201

202 Potential for further invasions

The model identified a number of areas that are currently unoccupied as being climatically suitable for *B. terrestris*; the southern mainland of Australia (Figure 2), substantial parts of China and Korea, the Himalayas, the southern tip of Africa, parts of eastern and north western North America (Figure 2), the southern tip of South America and parts of the east coast of Argentina (Figure 3).

Predictions as to the likely final extent of the ongoing invasion of South America are of particular interest. The model predicts that the climate is suitable to the tip of Tierra del Fuego (Figure 3), but that *B. terrestris* may be approaching the northern limit of suitable climatic niche space. To the east, the coastal area south of Buenos Aires and stretching northwards into Uruguay is predicted to be suitable, but is separated from the areas currently being invaded by *B. terrestris* to the south and west of Argentina by a substantial barrier of arid semi-desert which the model identified as unsuitable.

215

216 **Discussion**

217 The model's predictions agree well with the known instances of establishment of B. terrestris outside of its native range, suggesting that the predictions are valid. It should be 218 219 noted that our data on the European distribution of B. terrestris include a number of named 220 subspecies / races which may differ in their climatic tolerances, so the potential for spread 221 may vary according to their origins (Rasmont et al., 2008). After the initial tranportation of the ssp. B. terrestris audax from UK to New Zealand (and hence to Tasmania), the 222 subspecies that are presently the most exported from Europe are ssp. dalmatinus, ssp. 223 224 *terrestris* s.s. and likely their hybrids. These subspecies have the largest distribution areas, 225 from the Mediterranean to near the Arctic Circle, and occur in most European biomes 226 (including mountains, steppes, Mediterranean matorrals, deciduous forest, boreal taiga and 227 most anthropogenic habitats). Their ability to undergo several generations per year, even in winter (Rasmont et al. 2008), presumably facilitates survival across a broad range of 228 229 climates. However, our predictions here are based on the entire native range of all subspecies combined. 230

The model's predictions allow us to highlight a number of geographic regions where importation of *B. terrestris* would run a high risk of escape and establishment, and thus where it is particularly important to prevent such trade. Because of their value as commercial pollinators, applications have previously been lodged by horticulturalists for
the deliberate release of *B. terrestris* in mainland Australia, with the most recent application
being rejected in 2008 (Goulson 2010). Invasion of *B. terrestris* is classified as a "*Key Threatening Process*" by the New South Wales Department of Environment (Adams
2004). Our analysis strongly suggests that such an introduction would run a high risk of the
bees establishing and spreading in southern parts of mainland Australia, with unknown
consequences for Australia's unique plant and pollinator communities.

The extent of the global trade in bumblebees is impossible to establish, in part 241 242 because the companies that rear the nests regard information on the markets they supply to be commercially sensitive. As long ago as 1998, Dafni listed countries which import B. 243 terrestris to include Japan, China, Korea, Chile, Uruguay, Argentina and South Africa, but 244 it seems certain that there are many more. Of these seven countries, three have already been 245 246 invaded, but our model predictions suggest that South Africa, China and Korea are also at high risk. In South Korea, many thousands of *B. terrestris* colonies are currently used, 247 248 although the native *B. ignitus* are also commercially available (Lee et al. 2010).

Given the environmental risks associated with importation and use of non-native 249 250 bumblebees, this practice should be discontinued. In Asia there are native species that have already been commercialized, and it seems likely that native South American species could 251 252 also be cultured. A less satisfactory risk mitigation strategy would be to thoroughly screen imported colonies for disease, to prevent the accidental introduction of non-native bee 253 254 pathogens. This would require international agreement as to which diseases are likely to be 255 present and how best to detect them, and also independent screening of bees to ensure that 256 health standards were being met. However, such a strategy could not remove risks of competition with native pollinators, disruption of plant-pollinator mutualisms, or guard 257 against the spread of as yet unknown bee diseases. 258

The predictions of the model have bleak implications for the native South American species *B. dahlbomii*, formerly a common species throughout much of Chile and south western Argentina, and the only bumblebee species indigenous to this part of the world. *B. dahlbomii* is arguably the largest bumblebee species in the world, and is certainly the most southerly. It is a very long-tongued species upon which a range of deep-flowered Andean plant species rely for pollination, and hence its loss would be a particular tragedy. It has

265	disappeared from perhaps 70% of its range (Arbetman et al. 2012) as B. terrestris invades
266	southwards, and it is now found only in Tierra del Fuego and a relatively small area of the
267	adjoining mainland. The model suggests that conditions are suitable for B. terrestris to
268	continue to spread southwards to the tip of South America, encompassing the entirety of the
269	range of B. dahlbomii. Given the current speed of spread of B. terrestris, the extinction of
270	B. dahlbomii is likely to occur within the next 2-3 years.
271	
272	Acknowledgements
273	This paper is a contribution to the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme
274	(FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no 244090, STEP Project (Status and Trends of
275	European Pollinators, <u>www.step-project.net</u>).
276	
277	References
278	Abrahamovitch, A.H., Telleria, M.C., Diaz, N.B. 2001. Bombis species and their associated
279	flora in Argentina. Bee World 82: 76-87.
280	Adam P. 2004. Introduction of the large earth bumblebee, Bombus terrestris - key
281	threatening process listing. New South Wales Scientific Committee 13 February
282	2004. http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/determinations/
283	BombusTerrestrisKtpDeclaration.htm (accessed 21/1/13)
284	Arbetman, M.P., I. Meeus, C.L. Morales, M. A. Aizen, G. Smagghe. 2012. Alien parasite
285	hitchhikes to Patagonia on invasive bumblebee. Biological Invasions DOI
286	10.1007/s10530-012-0311-0.
287	Buttermore, R.E. 1997. Observations of successful Bombus terrestris (L.) (Hymenoptera:
288	Apidae) colonies in southern Tasmania. Australian Journal of Entomology 36: 251-
289	254.
290	Cameron, S. A., J. D. Lozier, J. P. Strange, J. D. Koch, N. Cordes, L. F. Solter, T. L.
291	Griswold. 2011. Patterns of widespread decline in North American bumble bees.
292	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 108: 662-667.
293	Colla SR, Otterstatter MC, Gegear RJ, & Thomson JD (2006) Plight of the bumble bee:
294	Pathogen spillover from commercial to wild populations. Biological Conservation
295	129(4):461-467.

296 CRU, 2012. Climatic Research Unit, Data. University of East Anglia. Available at:

297 http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/data Accessed July, 2012.

298 Dafni, A. 1998. The threat of *Bombus terrestris* spread. Bee World 79, 113-114.

299 Eastman, J.R. 2009. IDRISI ANDES. Manual. Clark Laboratories for Cartographic Technology

- 300 and Geographic Analysis. Clark University, Worcester, MA, USA
- 301
- Goka, K. 1998. Influences of invasive species on native species will the European
 bumblebee, *Bombus terrestris*, bring genetic pollution into Japanese native species?
 Bulletin of the Biographical Society of Japan 53: 91-101.

Goka, K., Okabe, K. and Yoneda, M. 2006. Worldwide migration of parasitic mites as a
result of bumblebee commercialization. Population Ecology 48: 285-291.

- Goulson, D. 2003. Effects of introduced bees on native ecosystems. Annual Review of
 Ecology and Systematics 34: 1-26
- Goulson, D. 2010. *Bumblebees; their behaviour, ecology and conservation*. Oxford
 University Press, Oxford, pp. 317.
- Goulson, D. & Hanley, M.E. 2004. Distribution and forage use of exotic bumblebees in
 South Island, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 28:225-232
- Goulson, D. and Rotheray, E.L. 2012. Population dynamics of the invasive weed *Lupinus arboreus* in Tasmania, and interactions with two non-native pollinators. Weed
 Research 52: 535-542
- Grixti, J.C., L.I. Wong, S.A. Cameron, and C. Favret. 2009. Decline of bumble bees
 (*Bombus*) in the North American Midwest. *Biological Conservation* 142: 75-84.
- Hanley, M.E. and Goulson, D. 2003. Introduced weeds pollinated by introduced bees:
 Cause or effect? Weed Biology And Management 3:204-212
- Heinrich B. 1979. *Bumblebee economics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge MA.
- Iserbyt I & Rasmont P. 2012. The effect of climatic variation on abundance and diversity of
 bumblebees: a ten years survey in a mountain hotspot. *Annales de la Société entomologique de France* (N.S.), 48 (3–4) : 261-273.

324 Kadoya, T., Ishii, H.S., Kikuchi, R., Suda, S. & Washitani, I. 2009. Using monitoring data 325 gathered by volunteers to predict the potential distribution of the invasive alien 326 bumblebee Bombus terestris. Biological Conservation 142: 1011-1017. 327 Lee SB, Sim HS, Kim WT, Park KH, Hwang SJ, Choi YC. 2010. Characteristics of pollinating 328 activities by Bombus terrestris worker, drone and Apis mellifera worker at the oriental melon houses. Korean Journal of Apiculture 25: 245-252. 329 330 Manson J, Otterstatter M, & Thomson J (2010) Consumption of a nectar alkaloid reduces pathogen load in bumble bees. Oecologia 162(1):81-89. 331 Meeus I, Brown MJF, De Graaf DC, & Smagghe G (2011) Effects of invasive parasites on 332 bumble bee declines. Conserv. Biol. 25(4):662-671. 333 334 Montalva, J., Dudley, L., Kaklin Arroyo, M., Retamales, H. & Abrahamotich, A.H. 2011. 335 Geographic distribution and associated flora of native and introduced bumblebees (Bombus spp.) in Chile. J Apic Res 50: 11-21. 336 337 Murray, T.E., Coffer, M.F., Kehoe, E. & Horgan, F.G. 2013. Pathogen prevalence in 338 commercially reared bumble bees and evidence of spillover in conspecific populations. Biological Conservation 159: 269-276. 339 Otterstatter M & Thomson J (2007) Contact networks and transmission of an intestinal 340 341 pathogen in bumble bee (Bombus impatiens) colonies. Oecologia 154(2):411-421. 342 Phillips, S.J. & Dudík, M., 2008. Modeling of species distributions with Maxent: new extensions and a comprehensive evaluation. *Ecography*, 31: 161–175. 343 344 Phillips, S.J., R.P. Anderson, and R.E. Schapire. 2006. Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. Ecol. Modell. 190: 231–259. 345 346 Plischuk S, Meeus I, Smagghe G, Lange CE (2011) Apicystis bombi (Apicomplexa: Neogregarinorida) parasitizing Apis mellifera and Bombus terrestris (Hymenoptera: 347 Apidae) in Argentina. Environ Microbiol Rep 3:565–568 348 349 Rasmont, P., Coppée A., Michez D. & De Meulemeester T. 2008. An overview of the Bombus terrestris (L. 1758) subspecies (Hymenoptera : Apidae). Annales de la 350 Société entomologique de France (N. S.), 44 (2): 243-250. 351 352 Rasmont P. & Iserbyt I. 2012. Atlas of the European Bees: genus Bombus. 2nd Edition. 353 STEP Project, Atlas Hymenoptera, Mons, Gembloux.

354	http://www.zoologie.umh.ac.be//hymenoptera/page.asp?ID=169. Accessed
355	8.04.2013.
356	Semmens, T.D. 1996a. Flower visitation by the bumble bee Bombus terrestris (L.)
357	(Hymenoptera: Apidae) now established in Tasmania. Journal of the Australian
358	Entomological Society 32: 346.
359	Singh R, et al. (2010) RNA viruses in hymenopteran pollinators: evidence of inter-taxa
360	virus transmission via pollen and potential impact on non-Apis hymenopteran
361	species. PLoS ONE 5(12):e14357.
362	Torretta, J.P., Medan, D. and Arahamovich, A.H. 2006. First record of the invasive
363	bumblebee Bombus terrestris (L.) (Hymenoptera, Apidae) in Argentina.
364	Transactions of the American Entomological Society 132: 285-289.
365	Velthuis HHW & van Doorn A (2006) A century of advances in bumblebee domestication
366	and the economic and environmental aspects of its commercialization for
367	pollination. <i>Apidologie</i> 37:421-451.
368	Williams, P.H. 1994. Phylogenetic relationships among bumble bees (Bombus Latr.): a
369	reappraisal of morphological evidence. Systematic Entomology 19: 327-344.
370 371	Yoon, HJ, KY Lee, IG Park. 2009. Interspecific hybridization of the bumblebees <i>Bombus ignitus</i> and <i>B.terrestris</i> . International Journal of Industrial Entomolgy 18:41-48.
372	
373	

Figure 1. AUC Jack-knife test gain, illustrating each variable's importance for Maxent. The contribution of each variable to the model is represented by the black bars. The other bars represent the jack-knife results for the model with only one variable (white) or with all variables but the analysed one (grey). Values for the jack-knife results are represented on the right axis. DTR = mean annual diurnal temperature range; FRS = frost days; PRE = mean annual precipitation; TMN = mean annual minimum temperature TMP = mean annual temperature; TMX = mean annual maximum temperature.

382

374



- Figure 2. Global climate suitability map for *B. terrestris* as calculated by MAXENT, basedon the native, western Palearctic distribution.



Figure 3. Known distribution of *B. terrestris* in Chile and Argentina (left). Circles indicate presence or absence in January 2012. Blue arrows indicate approximate spread according to Abrahamovitch et al. (2001); Montalva et al. (2011); Arbetman et al. (2012). The climatic suitability map for *B. terrestris* modeled with Maxent (right) predicts the likely final extent of the invasion in South America. The known distribution of *B. dahlbomii* approximates closely to the areas predicted to be suitable for *B. terrestris* in Chile and western Argentina.

397



398